

Arborist Report

6582-6585 Highway 6

Township of Centre Wellington

Prepared For:

Zelinka Priamo Ltd.

Prepared By:

Beacon Environmental Limited

Date:

2026-08-25

Project:

226060



BEACON
ENVIRONMENTAL

GUIDING SOLUTIONS IN THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

Table of Contents

	page
1. Introduction	1
2. Methodology	1
3. Results	1
3.1 Subject Property Trees	2
3.1.1 Tallied Subject Property Trees	2
3.2 Adjacent Private Property Trees	3
3.2.1 Tallied Adjacent Property Trees	4
3.3 Boundary Trees	4
3.3.1 Tallied Boundary Trees	4
4. Proposed Development, Tree Preservation and Removal	4
4.1 Trees Proposed for Removal Due to Development	5
4.2 Trees Recommended for Preservation	5
5. Tree Preservation Specifications	6
6. Timing of Tree Removal and Tree Pruning	7
6.1 Migratory Bird Convention Act and Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act	7
6.2 Tree Pruning Recommendations	7
7. Tree Compensation Information	7
8. Monitoring and Reporting	8
9. Summary	8
10. References	9

Figures

Figure 1. Site Location..... after page 2

Tables

Table 1. Tagged Subject Property Trees	2
Table 2. Tree Group A	3
Table 3. Tree Group B	3
Table 4. Tree Group C	3
Table 5. Tree Group D	3
Table 6. Tree Group E	3
Table 7. Tree Group F	4

Appendices

Appendix A. Limitations of Tree Assessment
Appendix B. Tree Inventory Table
Appendix C. Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan
Appendix D. Township of Centre Wellington Tree Protection Zone Detail (F5)

Report Versions Issued

Version	Date	Revisions
1.	July 2026	Issued for Client Review
2.	August 2026	Re-Issued for Client Review

1. Introduction

Beacon Environmental Limited (Beacon) has been retained by Zelinka Priamo Limited to prepare an Arborist Report in support of the proposed mixed-use development at 6582 to 6585 Highway 6 (herein referred to as the “subject property”) in the Township of Centre Wellington (the “Township”), County of Wellington. The subject property is located on the west side of Highway 6, south of Nichol Road 15 (**Figure 1**).

It is Beacon’s understanding that an Arborist Report and Tree Inventory and Protection Plan (TIPP) are required as part of a complete Draft Plan of Subdivision application, in accordance with the Township’s *Site Plan Approval Guidelines* (2025) and in alignment with the Township’s *Public Forest Policy* (2018).

The purpose of this report is to provide an inventory and assessment of the trees on and adjacent to the subject property and identify those trees that are recommended for removal to accommodate the proposed development, and to provide recommendations for tree preservation measures and mitigation.

This report was prepared in accordance with accepted arboricultural guidelines, standards, and practices and the above-noted municipal requirements, as well as Best Management Practices - Managing Trees During Construction, Third Edition (Methany et al. 2023) and ANSI A300 Tree Care Standards (American National Standards Institute 2023).

2. Methodology

Tree inventory data was collected on May 26, 2026, by a Certified Arborist, certified by the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA). The inventory includes all trees at least 10 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) on the subject property and within 6 m of the limit of disturbance. Tree diameters were measured at breast height, approximately 1.4 m from the ground surface. Tree condition was assessed based on the presence and severity of flaws, damage, evidence of pests or diseases, structural condition, dead or dying branches, or other indicators of decline. Individual trees with a DBH of 10 cm or greater on the subject property were tagged with aluminum numbered labels. Dense groupings of trees were tallied by species and size class.

The methodology and limitations of this assessment are detailed in **Appendix A**. All tree inventory data including tag/tree number, tree species, size (DBH), health condition, ownership, comments, and recommendations are provided in **Appendix B**. Tree locations were recorded using a sub-metre Arrow 100 GNSS Receiver and incorporated into Geographical Information Systems (GIS) and AutoCAD platforms and are shown on the Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan in **Appendix C**.

3. Results

A total of 132 individual trees with a minimum DBH of 10 cm were inventoried and assessed. Of the 132 individually tagged trees, 124 trees are located within the subject property, three (3) are located on

adjacent private properties, and five (5) are potential boundary trees. The ownership of potential boundary trees is to be confirmed during the detailed design phase.

Approximately 70 additional trees were tallied by species and size class in Tree Groups A through G. Size classes correspond with the compensation requirements outlined in the Township's Terms of Reference: Tree Preservation (n.d.). Tree Groups A, B, C, D and E are located on the subject property; Tree Group F is located on the property boundary, and Tree Group G is located on an adjacent private property.

3.1 Subject Property Trees

The 124 individually tagged subject property trees, listed in decreasing order of abundance, are detailed in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Tagged Subject Property Trees

Scientific Name	Common Name	Quantity	Percentage
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	33	27%
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	16	13%
<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	15	12%
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	11	9%
<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	9	7%
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	8	6%
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	6	5%
<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	Balsam Poplar	4	3%
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	European Mountain-ash	4	3%
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Trembling Aspen	3	2%
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	3	2%
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White Pine	3	2%
<i>Tilia americana</i>	Basswood	2	2%
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Manitoba Maple	2	2%
<i>Salix alba</i>	White Willow	1	1%
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots Pine	1	1%
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	1	1%
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Eastern White Cedar	1	1%
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Black Cherry	1	1%
Total		124	100%

Per the results presented in **Table 1**, Sugar Maple (*Acer saccharum*) is the dominant species within the subject property, followed by Norway Maple (*A. platanoides*) and Freeman's Maple (*A. x freemanii*).

3.1.1 Tallied Subject Property Trees

A total of 59 subject property trees were tallied in Tree Groups A through E, presented in **Table 2** through **Table 6** below. All tallied subject property trees were observed to be in good overall condition.

Legend

- Subject Property
- Watercourse (MNR 2026)



Site Location

Figure 1

6582-6585 Hwy 6, Centre Wellington



Project: 226060
Last Revised: June 2026

Client: Zelinka Priamo
Ltd.

Prepared by: BD
Checked by: HB



1:8,300

Inset Map: 1:85,000

Contains information licensed under the Open
Government License—Ontario
Orthoimagery Baselayer: FBS Wellington County (2025)

Table 2. Tree Group A

Scientific Name	Common Name	10-20 cm DBH	21-35 cm DBH	36-50 cm DBH	Total
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Eastern White Cedar	1	16	3	20

Table 3. Tree Group B

Scientific Name	Common Name	10-20 cm DBH	21-35 cm DBH	36-50 cm DBH	Total
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Eastern White Cedar	0	8	0	8

Table 4. Tree Group C

Scientific Name	Common Name	10-20 cm DBH	21-35 cm DBH	36-50 cm DBH	Total
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	0	11	0	11

Table 5. Tree Group D

Scientific Name	Common Name	10-20 cm DBH	21-35 cm DBH	36-50 cm DBH	Total
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	0	11	0	11

Table 6. Tree Group E

Scientific Name	Common Name	10-20 cm DBH	21-35 cm DBH	36-50 cm DBH	Total
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	0	9	0	9

3.2 Adjacent Private Property Trees

A total of three (3) trees within 6 m of the subject property were inventoried on adjacent private properties. These trees include:

- Two (2) Freeman's Maple that are 20 cm and 15 cm DBH, both in good condition, located at a property on Goodall Court south of the subject property; and
- One (1) White Spruce with a DBH of 35 cm, in good condition, located at 6587 Beatty Line North.

3.2.1 Tallied Adjacent Property Trees

A total of four (4) trees located at 6587 Beatty Line North were tallied in Tree Group G. These trees include:

- Two (2) White Spruce approximately 25 cm and 35 cm DBH, both good condition; and
- Two (2) White Spruce approximately 45 cm DBH, both in good condition.

3.3 Boundary Trees

A total of five (5) potential boundary trees were inventoried along the property line between the subject property and adjacent private properties to the north and west. These trees include:

- Two (2) White Spruce that are 17 cm and 35 cm DBH, in fair and fair-good condition respectively, located on the boundary between 6587 Beatty Line North and the subject property;
- Two (2) American Elm that are 39 cm and 46 cm DBH, both in fair-good condition, located on the boundary between 6587 Beatty Line North and the subject property; and
- One (1) Black Cherry with a DBH of 75 cm, in fair-good condition, located on the boundary between 6602 Highway 6 and the subject property.

3.3.1 Tallied Boundary Trees

Approximately seven (7) potential boundary trees were tallied in Tree Group F, located along the property line between the subject property and 6587 Beatty Line North. These trees are in fair-good condition and are presented in **Table 7**.

Table 7. Tree Group F

Scientific Name	Common Name	10-20 cm DBH	21-35 cm DBH	36-50 cm DBH	Total
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i> 'Smaragd'	Emerald Cedar	0	~7	0	~7

4. Proposed Development, Tree Preservation and Removal

The proposed development encompasses approximately 29.2 hectares and includes a mix of residential, commercial, institutional, and open space uses. The land use plan is predominantly residential, featuring a range of built forms including single-family homes, townhouses, stacked townhouses/low-rise apartments, and apartment buildings, supported by a mixed-use/commercial block. The development will include a total of 701 residential units and incorporates supporting elements

such as school lands, parks, a stormwater management facility, natural heritage features, and an internal road and pedestrian network.

Trees located within the area of the proposed development are recommended for removal based on the current grading plan. The 183 trees proposed for removal on the subject property include 124 trees inventoried and the 59 trees tallied in Tree Groups A through E. Reasonable efforts are intended to be made during detailed design to explore opportunities for tree preservation where adequate TPZs can be provided to support long-term tree health. However, full preservation of all subject property trees is not required where grading, servicing, road construction, or other design constraints prevent adequate TPZs from being maintained.

A total of eight (8) individually tagged trees and approximately 11 tallied trees are recommended for preservation.

Detailed tree preservation and removal recommendations are provided below and shown in **Appendix C**.

4.1 Trees Proposed for Removal Due to Development

Trees located within the area of the proposed development are recommended for removal. The 183 trees proposed for removal to accommodate the planned development include all 124 trees inventoried within the subject property and the 59 subject property trees tallied in Tree Groups A through E. It should be noted that trees proposed for removal are not part of a woodland or other natural heritage feature identified for retention. While full preservation of these trees is not required, reasonable efforts are intended to be made during detailed design to maintain as many trees as practicable, particularly near the roadway, where adequate TPZs can be provided to support long-term tree health.

Included in the 183 trees proposed for removal are eight (8) mature, large stature Sugar Maple (Tree Nos. 3529, 3559, 3566, 3572, 3575, 3600, 3603, and 3622) that range from 79 cm to 104 cm DBH, all in fair-good and good condition. Retention of these trees should be reviewed during detailed design where adequate TPZs can be provided; however, based on the current grading plan, these trees are proposed for removal due to anticipated grading and design constraints..

The removal and disposal of trees must comply with CFIA regulations (e.g., D-01-12: Phytosanitary Requirements for the Importation and Domestic Movement of Firewood) to prevent the spread of invasive insects and pathogens.

4.2 Trees Recommended for Preservation

A total of eight (8) tagged trees and 11 tallied trees are recommended for preservation. Of these, seven (7) are located on adjacent private properties (Tree Nos. NT1, NT2, and NT9 and Tree Group G), and ~12 are potential boundary trees (Tree Nos. 3520 and NT5 to NT8 and Tree Group F).

All trees located on property boundaries or adjacent private properties are recommended for preservation. However, should removal of or injury to any boundary or adjacent property tree be

required, written consent from all affected property owners must be obtained prior to undertaking any work.

5. Tree Preservation Specifications

All trees that are recommended for preservation, and any additional trees identified for possible retention during detailed design, will be protected through the establishment of a TPZ where adequate protection can be provided based on final grading, servicing, and road design requirements. Tree protection fencing will be required prior to any construction or demolition work and shall be installed at the distance specified in the Tree Inventory Table (**Appendix B**) and illustrated in the TIPP (**Appendix C**). All TPZ fencing shall be installed at the approved locations and shall be maintained in the original location and condition until all construction activities have ceased and all equipment is removed. Fencing shall be constructed in accordance with the Township's Tree Protection Zone Detail (Drawing F5), as shown in **Appendix D**.

In addition to the establishment of TPZs, the following specifications are recommended:

- Before the beginning of work, the contractor shall meet with Beacon on site to review work procedures, access routes, storage areas and the TPZ or other tree protection measures;
- Tree protection fencing shall be installed and in good condition prior to the start of construction and is to be maintained in good condition throughout the duration of construction activities;
- Areas within the tree protection fencing of the trees designated for preservation are not to be used for any type of storage;
- Trees shall not have any rigging cables or hardware of any sort attached or wrapped around them, nor shall any contaminants be dumped within the protective areas or flushed where they may come into contact with the feeder roots of the trees;
- If any pruning is required to accommodate construction activities, the consulting Arborist is to be notified in advance. All pruning prescriptions must be confirmed by the consulting Arborist prior to work proceeding, and all pruning must be carried out in full accordance with ANSI A300 standards, by or under the supervision of an ISA Certified Arborist;
- During excavation operations in which roots are affected, the Contractor is to prune all exposed roots cleanly. Pruned root ends shall point obliquely downwards. The exposed roots should not be allowed to dry out. The Contractor shall discuss watering of the roots with the Owner and Contract Administrator prior to pruning to ensure that so that optimum soil moisture is maintained during construction and backfilling operations. Backfilling must be completed as soon as practical with clean, uncontaminated native topsoil or mulch. Directional drilling is recommended for installing infrastructure servicing within TPZs;
- Where the access route abuts the tree protection fencing, curb shall be hand-formed to minimize root loss; and
- Any injury to a tree during construction is to be assessed by an ISA Certified Arborist.

Due to negative construction effects, trees may experience a decline in health over a period of months or years. Trees found to be hazardous should be removed as soon as possible to maintain a safe environment.

6. Timing of Tree Removal and Tree Pruning

Irrespective of ownership, timing of vegetation removals is subject to applicable federal and provincial acts, as specified below.

6.1 Migratory Bird Convention Act and Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act

The federal *Migratory Bird Convention Act* (1994) and the provincial *Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act* (1997) protect the nests, eggs and young of most bird species from harm or destruction. Environment Canada considers the general nesting period of breeding birds in southern Ontario to be between late March and the end of August. This includes times at the beginning and end of the season when only a few species might be nesting.

To avoid potential impacts to both breeding birds and roosting bats, tree and vegetation removals or disturbance can only occur outside of the period of April 1 to October 1.

During the peak bird nesting period (mid-May to mid-July), no vegetation clearing or disturbance to nesting habitat should occur. In the “shoulder” seasons of April 1 to May 15, and July 16 to September 1, clearing may proceed only after a qualified ecologist with avian expertise has surveyed the area and confirmed there is no active nesting. If an active nest is found, clearing within the vicinity must be postponed until nesting is complete.

From September 1 to March 31, vegetation clearing may occur without nest surveys; however, legal protection for active nests applies year-round. If a nest is known to be active, it must be protected regardless of timing. Nesting habitat includes grasses, shrubs, trees, and structures.

6.2 Tree Pruning Recommendations

The timing and dose of crown and root pruning can influence tree health by affecting susceptibility to pests, diseases, and environmental stress. In keeping with arboricultural best practices, only the minimum amount of pruning required to achieve the objective should be undertaken, using the smallest feasible pruning cuts. Where crown pruning is necessary, reduction cuts made from the outer canopy are preferred over removal cuts whenever possible. When substantial or heavy pruning is required, dormant-season pruning is preferred to reduce physiological stress. All crown and root pruning must be performed by, or under the supervision of, an ISA Certified Arborist in accordance with ANSI A300 pruning standards.

7. Tree Compensation Information

As per section J.4.2 of the *Centre Wellington Development Manual* (2024), compensation for private tree removal will be valued by the Township on a case-by-case basis.

8. Monitoring and Reporting

To assist in the maintenance of the health and vigour of retained trees, including any additional trees identified for preservation during detailed design, it is recommended that a qualified professional (e.g., ISA Certified Arborist) inspect all tree protection measures following installation, periodically during active development construction, and once following completion of the development.

9. Summary

Beacon was retained by Zelinka Priamo Limited to undertake an Arborist Report and TIPP in connection with the proposed mixed-use development at 6582 to 6585 Highway 6 in the Township of Centre Wellington.

A total of 132 individual trees and approximately 70 trees in Tree Groups A through G were inventoried and assessed on and within 6 m of the subject property.

A total of 183 subject property trees are proposed for removal to accommodate the proposed development based on the current grading plan. The TPZs and drawings provided in **Appendix C** reflect the current grading plan. During detailed design, reasonable efforts should be made to explore opportunities to retain trees, particularly near the roadway, where adequate TPZs can be provided without conflicting with grading, servicing, road construction, or other design constraints. Full preservation of all subject property trees is not required where such constraints are encountered. Compensation for trees to be removed to enable development is to be determined in consultation with the Township.

A total of 19 trees are currently recommended for preservation, with opportunities for additional preservation to be reviewed during detailed design where feasible.

Should you have any comments regarding the arborist report, or require clarification or modification, please contact the undersigned at: hbarsoum@beaconenviro.com or 365-363-4220.

Report prepared by:
Beacon Environmental Ltd.



Holly Barsoum, B.A. (Hons.)
ISA Certified Arborist (ON-2506A)

Report reviewed by:
Beacon Environmental Ltd.



Dan Westerhof, B.Sc., M.E.S.
Senior Terrestrial Ecologist
ISA Certified Arborist (ON-1536A)

10. References

American National Standards Institute, 2023.

ANSI A300 Tree Care Standards. Manchester, New Hampshire. Tree Care Industry Association Inc.

Government of Canada, 1994.

Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994 (S.C. 1994, c.22).

Government of Ontario, 1997.

Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act, 1997 (S.O. 1997, c.41).

Matheny et al., 2023.

Best Management Practices – Managing Trees During Construction (3rd ed.), 2023.

Township of Centre Wellington, 2024.

Development Manual.

Township of Centre Wellington, 2018.

Public Forest Policy.

Appendix A



Limitations of Tree Assessment

Appendix A

Limitations of Tree Assessment

It is the policy of Beacon Environmental Limited to attach the following clause regarding limitations of the tree assessment. The intent is to ensure that the client is aware of what is technically and professionally realistic in assessing and/or retaining trees.

The assessment of the trees presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These techniques include a visual examination of the above-ground parts of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect attack, crown dieback, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the proximity of property and people. Except where specifically noted in the report, none of the trees examined were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed, and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be recognized that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigour constantly change over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions, pests, or variations in the weather conditions including severe storms with high-speed winds. Furthermore, some symptoms may only be visible seasonally; the extent of observations that can be made may be limited by the time of year in which the inspection took place.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the trees recommended for retention are healthy unless stated otherwise within the report, no warranty or guarantees are offered, or implied, that these trees, or any parts of them, will have continued health or structure as noted in the report. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree or group of trees or their component parts in all circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure if provided with the necessary combinations of stresses and elements. This risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, it is recommended that trees be re-assessed periodically to identify changes in condition. Design or site plan changes may also necessitate re-assessment and/or revisions to this report. **The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of the inspection and is intended for sole use of the client.** Any use of this report by a third party, and any decision based on this report, is the singular responsibility of the third party.

Appendix B



Appendix B

Tree Inventory Table

Tree No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Crown Area (m2)	Condition 1	Comments	Ownership	Recommendation	TPZ Radius 2 (m)
3501	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	14, 17, 22 (31)	5	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems bifurcate at base; included bark in main union.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3502	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	18, 20, 20 (34)	5	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems trifurcate at base; included bark in main union.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3503	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	16	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3504	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	12, 11 (16)		Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3505	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	19	5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3506	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	Balsam Poplar	10	1.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3507	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	Balsam Poplar	11, 12 (16)	3	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3508	<i>Salix alba</i>	White Willow	26	7	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3509	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	Balsam Poplar	13	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3510	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	17, 22 (28)	6	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3511	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	17, 13 (21)	4	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3512	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	13	1	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3513	<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	Large-toothed Aspen	15	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3514	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	14	3.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3515	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	18	3.5	Good	Good form and vigour; planted too close to fence.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3516	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	12	2	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems and overextended limbs.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3517	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	12, 16,	7	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems and overextended limbs.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4

Tree No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Crown Area (m2)	Condition 1	Comments	Ownership	Recommendation	TPZ Radius 2 (m)
			14 (24)						
3518	<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White Pine	14	2.5	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; no central leader.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3519	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	10, 4, 6, 5, 8, 7, 7, 6, 5, 4, 4, 5, 6 (22)	4	Fair	Regrowth from previously removed tree; shrub-like form.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3520	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Black Cherry	75	12	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; minor dieback and deadwood; overextended limb growing to the west; inaccessible, DBH estimated; likely boundary tree.	Potential Boundary Tree (Subject Property and 6602 Highway 6)	Preserve	4.8
3521	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	Balsam Poplar	12	2.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3522	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Manitoba Maple	15	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3523	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Manitoba Maple	14	5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3524	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Trembling Aspen	12	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3525	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Trembling Aspen	12	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3526	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Trembling Aspen	6, 9 (11)	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3527	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	European Mountain-ash	26, 14, 12, 15, 25, 13 (45)		Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3
3528	<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Eastern White Cedar	13	2	Fair-Good	Alaskan cypress. Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3529	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	104	12	Good	Good form and vigour; healthy mature tree.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	6.2
3530	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	50	10	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3
3531	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	24	6	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3532	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	45	10	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3
3533	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	22	N/a	Dead	Standing snag.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3534	<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	69	8	Fair-Good	Shaded out on North side; good vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.2

Tree No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Crown Area (m2)	Condition 1	Comments	Ownership	Recommendation	TPZ Radius 2 (m)
3535	<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	32	4	Fair	Good vigour; narrow, undersized crown; low live crown ratio.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3536	<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	65	8	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.2
3537	<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	67	8	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.2
3538	<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	58	5	Fair	Good vigour; low live crown ratio.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3.6
3539	<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	31	4	Fair	Good vigour; low live crown ratio.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3540	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	European Mountain-ash	15, 16, 13, 13, 11, 12 (33)	7	Fair-Good	Good vigour; slightly suppressed by neighbouring tree.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3541	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	25	4	Poor-Fair	Dieback and thinning.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3542	<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White Pine	24	4	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; no central leader, short round form; approximately 5m in height.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3543	<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White Pine	27	5	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; no central leader, short round form; approximately 5m in height.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3544	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	29	7	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3545	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	10	1.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3546	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	48	11	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3
3547	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots Pine	37	10	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3548	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	28	8	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3549	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	10	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3550	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	14	4	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3551	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	32	7	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3552	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	38	6	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3553	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	44	8	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3
3554	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	39	6	Fair-Good	Minor dieback and thinning.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3555	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	28	6	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3556	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	30	7	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4

Tree No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Crown Area (m2)	Condition 1	Comments	Ownership	Recommendation	TPZ Radius 2 (m)
3557	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	28	6	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3558	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	European Mountain-ash	27, 16 (31)	7	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3559	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	91	13	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; minor cavities and deadwood.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	6
3560	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	14	4	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3561	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	13		Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3562	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Black Cherry	13	6	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3563	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	11	2	Fair	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3564	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	11	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3565	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	35	8	Good	Good form and vigour; crown slightly uneven due to trees on North side.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3566	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	87	12	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; minor decay and deadwood throughout canopy; healthy mature tree.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	5.4
3567	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	10	1	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3568	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	65	10	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; included bark.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.2
3569	<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	6, 8, 9 (13)	1	Fair-Good	Multi-stem form.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3570	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	21	4	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3571	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	13	1.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3572	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	79	11	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.8
3573	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	14	3	Fair-Good	Slightly suppressed by neighbouring trees.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3574	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	68	9	Fair-Good	Slightly thin canopy.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.2
3575	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	101	13	Fair-Good	Good vigour; minor signs of decay.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	6.1
3576	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	71		Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.8
3577	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	64	8	Fair-Good	Slightly uneven canopy; overextended limb growing to the west.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.2
3578	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	18	4	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3579	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	20	5	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4

Tree No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Crown Area (m2)	Condition 1	Comments	Ownership	Recommendation	TPZ Radius 2 (m)
3580	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	11	1	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3581	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	10	1.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3582	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	13	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3583	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	17	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3584	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	14	3.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3585	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	16	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3586	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	16	4	Fair-Good	Numerous epicormic branches growing from base; yard waste piled around trunk; good vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3587	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	13, 14, 12, 12, 13, 13 (31)	5	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; multi-stem form.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3588	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	14	2.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3589	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	27	4	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3590	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	19	4	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3591	<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	21	4	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3592	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	17	3	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; wound from base to approximately 1.5m up west side of trunk; good reaction wood.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3593	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	15	1.5	Fair	Dieback and thinning.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3594	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	20	3	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vigour; multi-stem form.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3595	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	18	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3596	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	19	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3597	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	21, 26 (33)	7	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3598	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	35	8	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3599	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	26, 35 (44)	8	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3

Tree No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Crown Area (m2)	Condition 1	Comments	Ownership	Recommendation	TPZ Radius 2 (m)
3600	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	84	12	Fair-Good	Good vigour; minor structural deficiencies.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	5.4
3601	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	72	12	Fair-Good	Co-dominant stems; minor dieback; healthy mature tree.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.8
3602	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	74	10	Fair-Good	Good vigour; slightly thin canopy; potential bat habitat.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.8
3603	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	96	10	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	6
3604	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	22	5	Fair-Good	Good vigour and vitality; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3605	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	102	10	Fair	Signs of weak attachment in main union; minor dieback and deadwood.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	6.2
3606	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	19	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3607	<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	29	4	Fair	Minor canker; split and broken limbs.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3608	<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	50	10	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3
3609	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	39	4.5	Fair-Good	Suppressed by neighbouring tree.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3610	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	12	3	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3611	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	13	3.5	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3612	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	14	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3613	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	37, 37, 41 (66)	10	Fair-Good	Co-dominant stems; included bark at main union; good vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.2
3614	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	25	5	Fair-Good	Slightly thin and uneven canopy.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3615	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	European Mountain-ash	15, 15 (21)	5	Fair-Good	Slightly suppressed by neighbouring trees.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3616	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	21	4	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3617	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	13	2	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
3618	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	21	4	Fair-Good	Good vigour; co-dominant stems.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3619	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	71	9	Fair	Dieback; somewhat sparse canopy.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	4.8
3620	<i>Tilia americana</i>	Basswood	24	6	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3621	<i>Tilia americana</i>	Basswood	27	6	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	2.4
3622	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	96	13	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; signs of minor decay.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	6

Tree No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Crown Area (m2)	Condition 1	Comments	Ownership	Recommendation	TPZ Radius 2 (m)
3623	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	10	2	Fair	Suppressed by neighbouring trees.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
NT1	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	20	3.5	Good	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated.	Adjacent Private Property (Goodall Court)	Preserve	2.4
NT2	<i>Acer x freemanii</i>	Freeman's Maple	15	2.5	Good	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated.	Adjacent Private Property (Goodall Court)	Preserve	1.8
NT3	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	42	6	Good	Good form and vigour.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	3
NT4	<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	11	2	Good	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated.	Subject Property	Remove Due to Development	1.8
NT5	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	35	5	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated; potential boundary tree.	Potential Boundary Tree (Subject Property and 6587 Beatty Line North)	Preserve	2.4
NT6	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	35, 30 (46)	6	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated; potential boundary tree.	Potential Boundary Tree (Subject Property and 6587 Beatty Line North)	Preserve	3
NT7	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	17	5	Fair	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated; potential boundary tree.	Potential Boundary Tree (Subject Property and 6587 Beatty Line North)	Preserve	1.8
NT8	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	30, 15, 16, 13 (39)	8	Fair-Good	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated; potential boundary tree.	Potential Boundary Tree (Subject Property and 6587 Beatty Line North)	Preserve	2.4
NT9	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	35	5	Good	Good form and vigour; inaccessible, DBH and location estimated.	Adjacent Private Property (6587 Beatty Line North)	Preserve	2.4




1. The tree health condition rating was based on factors that could include one or a combination of:
Good Condition – Healthy vigorous growth, no or minor visible defects or damage
Fair Condition – Moderate dieback and/or lean, limb defects, multiple stems, moderate foliage damage from stress
Poor Condition – Severe dieback, significant lean, decayed, missing leader, significant disease presence

2. The minimum TPZ distance as the Township of Wellington’s Public Forest Policy (2018).

Appendix C





Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan



Notes: Scale shown is for an 36" x 24" page.
For illustrative purposes. Do not scale.

Nº	REVISIONS	DATE:	BY:
6			
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
3	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	xxxx/xx/xx	HB






BEACON ENVIRONMENTAL
MARKHAM OFFICE
80 MAIN ST NORTH
MARKHAM, ON L3P 3X5
T) 905-201-7622
F) 905-201-0619
www.beaconenviro.com

CLIENT
ZELINKA PRIAMO LTD.

PROJECT
6582-6585 HIGHWAY 6

SHEET TITLE
TREE INVENTORY AND PRESERVATION PLAN

DESIGN BY:	---	PROJECT Nº:	226060
DRAWN BY:	JA	FIGURE Nº:	TP-1
CHECKED BY:	HB		
DATE:	18 August 2026		




LEGEND

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- X- TREE PRESERVATION FENCE
- 30M PROVINCIAL SIGNIFICANT WETLAND BUFFER
- 10M SIGNIFICANT WOODLAND DRIPLINE BUFFER
- SIGNIFICANT WOODLAND DRIPLINE
- 3678 TREE TAG
- MINIMUM TREE PROTECTION ZONE
- TREE CROWN
- TREE LOCATION
- TREE TO BE PRESERVED
- TREE TO BE REMOVED DUE TO DEVELOPMENT
- TREE GROUP TO BE PRESERVED

Notes: Scale shown is for an 36" x 24" page.
For illustrative purposes. Do not scale.

Nº	REVISIONS	DATE:	BY:
6			
5			
4			
3			
2			
3	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	xxxx/xx/xx	HB



NORTH ARROW

CERTIFIED ARBORIST
ISA
HOLLY BARSOUM
#QN-2506A

BEACON ENVIRONMENTAL

MARKHAM OFFICE
80 MAIN ST NORTH
MARKHAM, ON L3P 3X5

T) 905-201-7622
F) 905-201-0619
www.beaconenviro.com

CLIENT

ZELINKA PRIAMO LTD.



PROJECT

6582-6585 HIGHWAY 6

SHEET TITLE

TREE INVENTORY AND PRESERVATION PLAN

DESIGN BY:	...	PROJECT Nº:	226060
DRAWN BY:	JA	FIGURE Nº:	TP-2
CHECKED BY:	HB		
DATE:	18 August 2026		




LEGEND

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- 3678 TREE TAG
- MINIMUM TREE PROTECTION ZONE
- TREE CROWN
- TREE LOCATION
- TREE TO BE PRESERVED
- TREE TO BE REMOVED DUE TO DEVELOPMENT
- TREE GROUP TO BE REMOVED

Notes: Scale shown is for an 36" x 24" page.
For illustrative purposes. Do not scale.

Nº	REVISIONS	DATE:	BY:
6			
5			
4			
3			
2			
3	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	xxxx/xx/xx	HB



NORTH ARROW

CERTIFIED ARBORIST

ISA

HOLLY BARSOUM
#0N-2506A

BEACON ENVIRONMENTAL

MARKHAM OFFICE
80 MAIN ST NORTH
MARKHAM, ON L3P 3X5

T) 905-201-7622
F) 905-201-0619
www.beaconenviro.com

CLIENT

ZELINKA PRIAMO LTD.

PROJECT

6582-6585 HIGHWAY 6

SHEET TITLE


TREE INVENTORY AND PRESERVATION PLAN

DESIGN BY:	...	PROJECT Nº:	226060
DRAWN BY:	JA	FIGURE Nº:	TP-3
CHECKED BY:	HB		
DATE:	18 August 2026		

Appendix D



**Township of Centre Wellington Tree
Preservation Protection Zone Detail (F5)**



TREE PROTECTION BARRIER

1. Tree Protection Barriers constructed with a solid wood frame are required to define the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ).
2. No construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment or excavation of any kind is permitted within the TPZ.
3. All Tree Protection Barriers shall be in place and approved by the Township prior to construction access.
4. Tree Protection Barriers shall remain in place and in good condition until all construction is complete and approved by the Township.
5. During construction activities the TPZ will be inspected and monitored by and a certified arborist to monitor tree health.
6. All arboriculture work such as pruning of both branches and roots, shall be done by a qualified tree worker certified by the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) approved by the Township.
7. Sediment control fencing shall be installed as indicated in the Tree Protection Plan and approved by the Township.

MINIMUM TPZ DISTANCE FROM THE BASE OF THE TRUNK

< 10cm DBH	1.2m
11 - 19cm DBH	1.8m
20 - 40cm DBH	2.4m
41 - 50cm DBH	3.0m
51 - 60cm DBH	3.6m
61 - 70cm DBH	4.2m
71 - 80cm DBH	4.8m
81 - 90cm DBH	5.4m
91 - 100cm DBH	6.0m
> 100cm DBH	6cm/1cm dia.

TREE PROTECTION ZONE DETAIL



Centre Wellington

1 MacDonald Square
Elora, Ontario, N0B 1S0
Phone (519) 846- 9691

DATE: December 2017

SCALE: NTS

DWG NO.

F5